

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE "United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,012	08/22/2000	Michael J. Davis	LT1101	1283
75	90 07/08/2002			
J Michael Buchanan Cantor Colburn LLP 55 Griffin Road South			EXAMINER	
			JACKSON, MONIQUE R	
Bloomfield, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	4
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		L AS-4					
<u></u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	09/644,012	DAVIS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAU INC DATE of this communication and	Monique R Jackson	1773					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statule, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims  AVM Claim(s) 1.26 is/are pending in the application							
<ul> <li>4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<u> </u>						
<u> </u>	8) Claim(s) 1-36 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	nooson roquironioni.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	·,						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	* *						
Attachment(s)	, , , 222. 22 0.0.0						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/644,012 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-27 and 36, drawn to an adhesive composition, classified in class 525, subclass 390+.
- II. Claims 28-32, drawn to a laminate, classified in class 428, subclass 414+,(assuming Claim 29 should be dependent on Claim 28.)
- III. Claims 33-35, drawn to a method of producing a laminate, classified in class 156, subclass 275.5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as self-supporting molded article and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 3. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

Application/Control Number: 09/644,012

Art Unit: 1773

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be formed by utilizing a preformed, partially cured film of the adhesive material.

Page 3

4. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the thermosetting composition can be used to make a self-supporting molded article.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the thermosetting resin of the claimed invention:
  - i. cyanate esters
  - ii. polyesters
  - iii. epoxy
  - iv. benzoxazines
  - v. benzocyclobutene
  - vi. epoxy and cyanate ester

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, a thermosetting resin is generic.

Art Unit: 1773

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R Jackson whose telephone number is 703-308-0428. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/644,012

Art Unit: 1773

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J Thibodeau can be reached on 703-308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Monique R. Jackson

marjaus

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700

July 8, 2002